Department of Public Policy & Administration

Peer Evaluation of Classroom Teaching Process

The peer teaching evaluation is intended to be not just an evaluative process but also an opportunity to receive constructive feedback to improve teaching effectiveness. This process intended to provide both formative and summative evaluations of faculty member's teaching effectiveness.

Process:

I. Pre-Visit Preparatory Meeting

The Peer evaluator and faculty member meet prior to the scheduled peer evaluation in order to:

- Review course syllabus for course objectives, teaching, and assessment methods.
- Discuss the types of learners in class.
- Discuss methods of instruction selected for class, and class format.
- Discuss how feedback is provided to students.
- Discuss areas of focus for the evaluation.
- Go over peer evaluation forms to be used during class observation.
- Other areas, as requested by the faculty member being evaluated.

II. Peer Evaluation Visit

A peer evaluation form should be completed as part of the class observation (a sample one can be found at the end of this document). Upon completion of the visit, the faculty member being evaluated should do a self-appraisal that can be used as part of a post evaluation meeting (a sample of a self-appraisal is provided at the end of this document).

III. Post Evaluation Meeting

The Peer evaluator and faculty member should meet following the class visit to go over the peer evaluation. Following the review and discussion, the peer evaluator and the faculty member should jointly develop a summary. This summary may include strategies for improvement as appropriate.

Public Policy & Administration Pre-Observation Form

Name:
Planned Observation Date and Time:
Course Number and Title:
Notes for Pre-Observation Meeting (to be completed by faculty member):
Class topic:
Goal (s) for the session: What will the students be able to do/know by the end of the class session?
1.
2
Teaching strategies: What strategies/methods will you use to help the learners to reach the session objectives? 1
2
Assessment tool(s)/Techniques: How will the students show that they know and can do what you expected of them?
1
2.

and try	ke the observer to be aware of? If so, please be explicit about those modifications to provide an example(s) of how it will be implemented in the classroom during servation.
	l
2	2.
Evalua	tor:
Role (ci	rcle one):
	DEPARTMENT CHAIR EVALUATION COMMITTEE
Evaluat	tor Comments/Reflections on Instructor Notes:
Pre-Ob	servation Meeting:
]	Date:
,	Γime:

Location:

Instructor Focus: Are there changes you have made or strategies you have employed that are informed by previous student evaluations and/or peer observations that you

Department of Public Policy & Administration Classroom Self Appraisal Form

Instruc	etor: Class:
Observ	ver: Date:
•	Did I demonstrate command of subject matter? Did my content reflect current research/knowledge of discipline? Was the purpose of my session evident? Was my content consistent with the course syllabus? Sesful elements
Eleme	nts to refine
	Were my transitions between ideas smooth? Did I give relevant examples and use them to clarify concepts? Was my presentation organized? Did I use supplemental materials/visual aids/technology effectively? Did I notice and adapt to student feedback accordingly? Given the type and size of class, were the methods I selected appropriate? Did I integrate an assessment tool/strategy into the lesson?
Succes	ssful elements
Eleme	nts to refine

3. Learning Environment

Recommendations for Improvement

- Was my classroom atmosphere participatory?
- Did my students seem engaged with the topic?
- Did I encourage questions and check in with students?
- Was I attentive to cues of boredom or confusion?
- Did I provide a session that was thought provoking and stimulating?
- Did I provide an environment conducive to critical thinking and student-centered learning?

learning?		
Successful elements		
Elements to refine		
General Comments		

Department of Public Policy & Administration

Summary of Peer Evaluation of Teaching

Instructor:	Class:
Observer:	
Post Evaluation Meeting Date: _	
supposed to do/know by the end	clearly communicated to the students what they were of the class session? Did the instructor successfully s to achieve the goals they set out for the course?
1	
2	
	structor successfully implement the strategies/methods arners to reach the session objectives?
1	
2.	
	ructor implement the assessment tools they intended to should be able to do/know by the end of the session?
1.	
2.	

Instructor Focus: If changes were made or strategies employed that were informed by previous student evaluations and/or peer observations, how well did the instructor implement them and based on the observation did they appear to address the area of focus or concern previously noted?

1.	
2.	

Evaluation of Specific Elements

- 1. Course Content
 - Did instructor demonstrate command of subject matter?
 - Did instructor's content reflect current research/knowledge of discipline?
 - Was the purpose of the instructor's session evident?
 - Was the instructor's content consistent with the course syllabus?

2. Teaching Methods

- Were the instructor's transitions between ideas smooth?
- Did the instructor give relevant examples and use them to clarify concepts?
- Was the instructor's presentation organized?
- Did the instructor use supplemental materials/visual aids/technology effectively?
- Did the instructor notice and adapt to student feedback accordingly?
- Given the type and size of class, were the methods the instructor selected appropriate?
- Did the instructor integrate an assessment tool/strategy into the lesson?

3. Learning Environment

- Was the instructor's classroom atmosphere participatory?
- Did the instructor's students seem engaged with the topic?
- Did the instructor encourage questions and check in with students?
- Was the instructor attentive to cues of boredom or confusion?
- Did the instructor provide a session that was thought provoking and stimulating?
- Did the instructor provide an environment conducive to critical thinking and student-centered learning?

Successful Elements Content Mastery
Teaching Methods
Learning Environment
Elements to Refine Content Mastery
Teaching Methods
Learning Environment
Recommendations for Improvement (if any) Content Mastery
Teaching Methods
Learning Environment

General Comments

Overall Rating for this Peer Observa	<u>tion</u>
Does Not Meet Professional St	andards.
This description should be reserved for with their job or is simply incompetent.	rare cases where an individual is mismatched
Improvement Needed.	
there appear to be aspects of the evalue should only rarely, and then in obvious	ly and without hesitation. It means simply that e's performance which could be improved. It cases, be considered pejorative. For example, ns taking on new course assignments, should ement in their performance.
Meets Professional Standards.	
considered. It is specifically intended as	to describe a majority of the cases that are s a means of avoiding narrow "grading" of ld emerge from the narrative explanation section
Distinguished	
This description should be used judicio unequivocally superior performance.	usly and reserved as a means of recognizing
Signed by:	
Role (circle one):	
DEPARTMENT CHAIR	EVALUATION COMMITTEE
Peer Evaluator	Date
I have read this observation and a	ccept it as a fair evaluation of the class observed
I have read this observation and a with the reservations indicated below.	ccept it as a fair evaluation of the class observed
I have read this observation but do	o not agree with it for the reason set forth below.
Faculty Member	Date

Observation of <u>Dr.</u> Date: Course: Location & Time:

In accordance with the Philosophy Department's Constitution, Appendix A, Paragraph 4, evaluation of classroom performance pivots on four key elements. They will be the paragraph headings in this document.

a) Briefly describe the objectives of the class visited (the plan for the class that day).					
	d(s) of instruction used and the organization of the material presented to er will note if the instructor connects theoretical with applied knowledge.)				
c) Describe the form, e	extent, and quality of student participation in the class visited.				
d) What suggestions an	re offered to the instructor?				
	Date y of this observation. My signature does not imply agreement or I understand I have the option to attach a written response.				
Instructor—	Date				

Faculty Member:		Obser	Observer:			
Course Title:						
Course #:	Classroom:	Date:		Time:		
	OVERALL EVALUATION: CLASROOM OBSERVATION					
AREA OF EVA	LUATION	N/A	Improvement needed	Meets standards	Exceeds Standards	
Organization &	& Preparation					
Presentation &	k Materials					
	nthusiasm					
	ncourages Critical Thinking					
	se of Visual Aids & Technology					
	nand of Subject					
	oise and Confidence					
C	lear Relevance of Content					
I	nclusion of Current Research, etc.					
	ppropriate Level					
	Rapport with Students					
	Encourages Class Participation					
	Open to different opinions					
	Recognizes Student Difficulty					
	Responsive to Student Questions, etc.					
Overall Teach	ing Skills					
Narrative/Comme	ents/Suggestions		Date			
I accept the above o	observation:		Date			
I have read the obse	ervation and wish to append my stateme	ent: 	Date	-		

Distance Education Course Observation Form

Department of Public Policy and Administration

Distance Education Observation Guidelines:

For observation of online courses, faculty members have the right to select the course and the module that will be observed. Faculty and committee members will meet at a mutually convenient time and location where the faculty member will log into the selected course D2L page and guide the committee members to the module that will be observed.

Please note that the PPA Department does not require synchronous sessions or view them as the sole form of interaction in an online course. Discussion boards, announcements, email, and phone are also considered acceptable forms of interactions.

Instructor Being Observed:				
Evaluator/observer:				
Course:	Section:			
Subject Matter:				

Comments must be provided on any items checked "needs improvement" or "inadequate."

Knowledge & expertise of subject matter/mastery of content

Excellent
Good/above average
Satisfying/average
Needs improvement/below average
Inadequate

Comments:

Organization/Course Set-Up (This includes clear presentation of D2L course website as well as clear presentation of assignments and expectations.)

Excellent
Good/above average
Satisfying/average
Needs improvement/below average
Inadequate

Comments:

Interaction (This may include emails, announcements, feedback on assignments, and discussion board activities. Synchronous sessions are not required, but may be included as a form of interaction)

Excellent
Good/above average
Satisfying/average
Needs improvement/below average
Inadequate

Comments:

Quality of course syllabi (This includes explanation of course objectives, learning goals, the distance learning statement, other necessary policy statements, and the method of grading explained clearly)

Excellent
Good/above average
Satisfying/average
Needs improvement/below average
Inadequate

Comments:

Overall evaluation

Excellent Good/above average Satisfying/average Needs improvement/below average Inadequate

Comments:

Date: _____

Signature of evaluator:	

I have read this observation and accept it as a fair evaluation of the class observed.	
I have read this observation and accept it as a fair evaluation of the class observed with the Reservations indicated below.	
I have read this observation but do not agree with it for the reasons set forth below.	
Signature of Instructor	Date:
Open-ended feedback ¹ :	

¹ Please use this category to provide constructive feedback to the instructor and share effective teaching methods (e.g., what other teaching and learning techniques could be applied, suggestions to increase student response to questions, alternative ways of presenting and organizing subject matter, etc.)